# Virtual University of Pakistan

## **Assessment Team Report**

**Department Name: Computer Science** 

**Program Name: MIT** 

### **Criteria Referenced Evaluation**

	Poor performance in most of the areas.	Fair performance in most of the areas.	Good performance for most areas / No poor performance in any areas.	Good to excellent performance in all areas.	Excellent performance in all areas.	Score
Criterion 1			✓			2.66
Criterion 2				$\checkmark$		3.75
Criterion 3			$\checkmark$			6.00
Criterion 4			<b>√</b>			6.66
Criterion 5			✓			9.27
Criterion 6		<b>✓</b>				9.71
Criterion 7			<b>√</b>			6.66
Criterion 8			<b>√</b>			5.00

## ${\bf Criteria\ Referenced\ Self\ Assessment-Methodology\ and\ Evaluation\ Tool}$

Criterion 1 – Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes		W	Weight = 0.05				
Fac	etors			Sco	re		
1	Does the Program have documented measureable objectives that support faculty / college and institution mission statements?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating students?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Do these outcomes support the Program objectives?	5	4	3	2	1	
4	Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?	5	4	3	2	1	
5	Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using quantifiable measures?	5	4	3	2	1	
6	Is the result of the Program Assessment documented?	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	0	12	4	0	
	Score 1 (S1) = $[TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight = 2.66$						
Cri	terion 2 – Curriculum Design and Organization	W	eigh	t = 0	.05		
Fac	etors			Sco	re		
1	Is the curriculum consistent?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Does the curriculum support the program's documented objectives?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Are theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design stressed within the program's core material?	5	4	3	2	1	
4	Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respective accreditation bodies? (refer Appendix A of the Self Assessment Manual)	5	4	3	2	1	
5	Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC and the respective councils / accreditation bodies? (Refer to appendix A of the Self Assessment Manual)	5	4	3	2	1	
6	Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and professional and other discipline requirements as laid down by the respective / accreditation bodies / councils? (Refer to Appendix A of the Self Assessment Manual)	5	4	3	2	1	
7	Is the information technology component integrated throughout the program?	5	4	3	2	1	
8	Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in the program?	5	4	3	2	1	
	1						

Cri	terion 3 – Laboratories and Computing Facilities	W	eigh	t = 0	.10		
Fac	Factors			Score			
1	Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiments available and readily accessible to faculty and students?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Are there adequate number of support personnel for instruction and maintaining the laboratories?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Are the university's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support the program objectives?	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	0	9	0	0	
	Score 3 (S3) = $[TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight = 6.00$						
Cri	terion 4 – Student Support and Advising	W	eigh	t = 0	.10		
Fac	tors			Sco	re		
1	Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the students to complete the program in a timely manner?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interaction between the students, faculty and teaching assistants?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Does the university provide academic advising on course decisions and career choices to all students?	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	4	6	0	0	
	Score 4 (S4) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight = 6.66						
Cri	terion 5 – Process Control	Weight = 0.15					
Fac	tors	Score					
1	Is the process to enroll students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?	5	4	3	2	1	
4	Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	3	2	1	
5	Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?	5	4	3	2	1	
6	Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution mission?	5	4	3	2	1	
7	Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?	5	4	3	2	1	

8	Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphasize active learning and that course learning outcomes are met?		4	3	2	1
9	Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	3	2	1
10	Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program base on standards and documented procedures?	5	4	3	2	1
11	Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	3	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	4	30	0	0

Score 5 (S5) = [TV/(No. of Questions \*5)] \*100 \*Weight = 9.27

Cri	Criterion 6 – Faculty Weight = 0.20						
Factors			Score				
1	Are there enough full time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the program areas / courses with continuity and stability?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Are the qualifications and interests of faculty members sufficient to teach all courses, plan, modify and update courses and curricula?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Do the faculty members posses a level of competence that would be obtained through graduate work in the discipline?	5	4	3	2	1	
4	Do the majority of faculty members hold a PhD degree in their discipline?	5	4	3	2	1	
5	Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?	5	4	3	2	1	
6	Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?	5	4	3	2	1	
7	Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)			12	4	1	
	Score 6 (S6) = $[TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *V$	Veig	ht =	9.71			

Criterion 7 – Institutional Facilities Weight = 0.10							
Factors				Score			
1	Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately staffed?	5	4	3	2	1	
3	Are the class rooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping faculty carry out their responsibilities?	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	4	6	0	0	
	Score 7 (S7) = $[TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *V$	Veig	ht =	6.66			
Cri	terion 8 – Institutional Support		Wei	ght =	0.1	0	
Fac	etors	Score					
1	Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?	5	4	3	2	1	
2	Are there an adequate number of high quality graduate students, teaching assistants and Ph.D students?	5	4	3	2	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)		0	3	2	0	
	Score 8 (S8) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight = 5.00						

OVERALL ASSESSMENT SCORE 
$$= S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6 + S7 + S8$$
$$= 2.66 + 3.75 + 6.00 + 6.66 + 9.27 + 9.71 + 6.66 + 5.00$$
$$= 49.73$$

#### Remarks:

The suggestion are provided in AT Report.